

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/720,345		11/25/2003	Yong Suck Park	0465-1087P	3906	
2292	7590	03/23/2006		EXAMINER		
BIRCH ST		KOLASCH & I	STINSON, FRANKIE L			
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1746	<u> </u>	

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/720,345	PARK, YONG SUCK					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	FRANKIE L. STINSON	1746					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed							
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acc	cepted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
 ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received in Applicati	on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/16/2004</u>.) 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/720,345 Page 2

Art Unit: 1746

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-4 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable 2. over either Rayner (U. S. Pat. No. 3,217,193) of Japan'727 (Japan 10-210727). Re claim 1, Rayner and Japan'727 are each cited disclosing a structure for cooling a motor assembly, the motor assembly including a cylindrical rotor (59 in Rayner and 11 in Japan'727) an inner circumferential surface of a rotor housing, and a cylindrical rotor in an inner circumferential surface of the rotor, comprising: a plurality of holes (as at 63 in Rayner and 12 in Japan'727) on a surface of the rotor housing forming the stator; and a plurality of blades, each provided in one side of the hole that differs from the claim only in the recitation of the holes being on a lower surface and the motor being that of a washing machine. In regard to the lower surface, no patentable distinction is deemed to exist between the holes as claimed and those as taught by either Rayner or Japan'727. This is merely a rearrangement of parts (MPEP 2144.04). As for the "washing machine" recitation, there are no limitations in the claims that would limit the device for a washing machine motor only. Re claims 2-4 and 9-12 to have the blade bent downwardly or upwardly is of little patentable significance, in that regardless of their orientation, the motor would still be cooled.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,345 Page 3

Art Unit: 1746

3. Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the allied prior art as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of EPO'100 (European Patent Office 0 445 100).

Claim 5 defines over the applied prior art only in the recitation of the surface of the blades being grooved. EPO'100 disclose a fan having a grooved surfaced. It therefore would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the blade in either Rayner of Japan'727, to be grooved as taught by EPO'100or the purpose of enhancing the movement of air there through. Re claim 5-8, Rayner and Japan'727 are cited a applied above.

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In Japan'072, Scheble, Boivie, Japan'288', France'170 and Japan'387, note the cooling means.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANKIE L. STINSON whose telephone number is (571) 272-1308. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 5:30 am to 2:00 pm and some Saturdays from approximately 5:30 am to 11:30 am.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr, can be reached on (571) 272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Art Unit: 1746

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

fls

FRANKIE L. STINSON
Primary Examiner
GROUP ART UNIT 1746